

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action of September 25, 2003. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application are respectfully requested.

The Office Action

Claims 1-4, 6-11, 15, 21, 22, 26, and 29-31 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Klefbeck (U.S. Patent No. 4,562,983).

Claims 5, 12-14 16-20, 23-25, 27, and 28 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Klefbeck in view of Skop, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,270,540).

The Claims of the Present Application Distinguish Over the Cited References

Claims 1, 26, and 31 recite electronics for measuring a color content of light within a case.

Klefbeck discloses a bag holder. Therefore, Klefbeck is nonanalogous art and one having ordinary skill in the art of monitor calibrators would not look to Klefbeck. Furthermore, Klefbeck fails to disclose, and is not concerned with, electronics included within a case, as recited in **claims 1, 26, and 31**. For these reasons, **claims 1, 26, and 31**, along with **claims 2-25 and 27-30** which depend therefrom, are patentable over Klefbeck.

Claim 1 recites a plurality of case supporting elements extending over and radially outwardly from the case. Skop, Jr. et al. ("Skop") discloses a monitor calibrator including a housing and a suction cup. However, Skop fails to disclose, and is not

concerned with, case supporting elements extending over a case and radially outwardly from the case, as recited in **claim 1**. Furthermore, as discussed above, Klefbeck is nonanalogous art. Therefore, **claim 1**, along with **claims 2-25** which depend therefrom, are patentable over the combination of Klefbeck and Skop.

Claim 26 recites case supporting elements extending from the case. Skop fails to disclose, and is not concerned with, case supporting elements extending from a case, as recited in **claim 26**. Furthermore, as discussed above, Klefbeck is nonanalogous art. Therefore, **claim 26**, along with **claims 27-30** which depend therefrom, are patentable over the combination of Klefbeck and Skop.

New **claim 32** recites a support structure, secured to an outer surface of a case, including a plurality of case supporting elements. A weight of an electronic component is supported by the case when the case supporting elements are secured to a monitor surface. None of the cited references discloses a weight of an electronic component being supported by a case when case supporting elements are secured to a monitor surface, as recited in **claim 32**. Therefore, **claim 32**, along with **claims 33-38** which depend therefrom, are patentable over the cited references.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that the claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Early notice thereof is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP



Brian E. Kondas
Reg. No. 40,685
Customer No. 24024
(216) 622-8308